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| **Facilitator Name: Veronica Williams and Robert McQuade** |
| Table Topic: Entry and Exit Criteria for Continuous SETRs In A DMM Environment |
| 1. Do traditional entry and exit criteria allow for continuous SETRs?   Vote: 2 people said yes with modification, and 4 people stated NO  Exit criteria are necessary, but must not be documented based, i.e drawings complete  Should be allowed to move forward and backward as needed in each touch point throughout the program  Focus on purpose to drive other agile means to answer questions  No- the term entry/ exit implies a discrete review  To get to a milestone there must be a stop all work which is a waste of time. Traditional before model base  Intentional alignment, get rid of PowerPoints, put in model to accept in the workflow of the model  The review can happen anytime while working  Requirements are needed and these are the processes needed. Question is how do you allocate requirements?  Quality checks should be linear  Look for resources more mature and apply those to those that are not  Tracking interfaces should be a check off- linked to model allows to get deeper  Focus time on things that are short  Prioritize checklist  Work at the same time instead of one by one  Waste effort to prep for review   1. How should entry and exit criteria be modified to encourage continuous SETRs?   Readable  Criteria could be structured to grade interim progress  Criteria need to incorporate proof through a model or digital representation  Entry/Exit embedded into continuous work with views/ roll up for continuous monitoring  Sharable data “pool” that allows current/ real time updates from all participants  Work stoppage until decision is made  CDR and Testing take the most time  Concurrence for SETRs  Faster technology, ability to do test while still moving forward how to merge changes  Do more work upfront and assess risk  Should features be added to entry? Track the level of details- additional performance requirements  KPP as exit criteria  Interfaces documented  Safety and regulatory is met and solid requirements  Integration is the problem  Where can we add automation to save time?  MBSE Framework. Hard to get to consistent model. Top level view  TRL and MRL in digital. Link to model while moving through process  Redefine TRL and MRL for digital  Model to PLM is a problem  Rapid feedback loop with manufacturers- vendors will say no due to it being so few vendors   * 1. Use Gate Criteria as necessary   During gate review, branch model and show ripple effect  Engineering chain process have reversed  Gate review becomes an educational session  Over modeling prevent agile practices  What is used for identifying gaps?   1. How are "delta" SETRs addressed in a continuous SETR?   Changing solutions to requirements  Variables in how to design  Strong models under agile practice  Track dependencies and roadblocks- Transparency  Release at the end of every week leading to gate review  Continuous monitor via view/ dash boards to manage  Interrupts critical flow that adds risk (program pressure of review)  “Digital continuous points”  Maturity driven checkpoints   1. Do definitions of SETRs need to be modified to be executed continuously?   Yes, there’s value in the questions but we need to change how we do it  Are there key points that would differ that the SETRs?  Work differently  PR closeout but still have questions  Definitions could be organized by sprints, linear/ continuous  There is likely a need for revision of the SETR definitions  Need to study…  Purpose/ value of risk/ decisions are valued, but need to change to agile model which requires change of waying methods  Text based to code based |